

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/805,449 | BRETON ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | D. S. Nakarani | 1773 | |

All Participants:

(1) D. S. Nakarani.

(2) Mr. James R. Brueggemann, Attorney.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 September 2006

Time: 1:30 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

31

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Brueggemann agreed to change dependency of claim 31 from claim 9 to claim 28 to avoid duplication of claims.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

D. S. Nakarani 9/18/2006

(Examiner/~~SEE~~ Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)